plan-Meier method; subgroups were compared using the log-rank test. A multiple Cox regression model assessed predictive factors of OS after cabozantinib initiation.

RESULTS: Four hundred and ten recruited patients started treatment between September 2016 and February 2018: the Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group Performance Status \geq 2, 39.3%; poor International Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma Database Consortium (IMDC) risk, 31.7%; 0-1, 2 and \geq 3 previous treatment lines, 25.3%, 33.4% and 41.2%, respectively; bone metastases, 55.9%; brain metastases, 16.8%. Median (min-max) follow-up was 14.4 (0-30) months. Overall, 57.0% of patients had a dose reduction, 15.6% an alternative dose schedule. The median average daily dose was 40.0 mg. Median (quartile [Q]1-Q3) treatment duration was 7.6 (0.1-29.1) months, median OS was 14.4 months, and the 12-month OS rate was 56.5% (95% confidence interval: 51.5-61.2). Most patients (54.4%) received subsequent treatment. Predictive factors associated with longer OS were body mass index \geq 25 kg/m2 (p = 0.0021), prior nephrectomy (p = 0.0109), favourable or intermediate IMDC risk (p < 0.0001) and cabozantinib initiation at 60 mg/day (p = 0.0486).

CONCLUSION: In the largest real-world study to date, cabozantinib was effective in unselected, heavily pretreated patients with mRCC. Initiation at 60 mg/day was associated with improved outcomes. CLINICALTRIALS: NCTo₃₇₄₄₅85.

Lenvatinib plus Pembrolizumab or Everolimus for Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma. Motzer R et al. N Engl J Med. 2021 Feb 13. doi: 10.1056/NEJM0a2035716.

Results: A total of 1069 patients were randomly assigned to receive lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab (355 patients), lenvatinib plus everolimus (357), or sunitinib (357). Progression-free survival was longer with lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab than with sunitinib (median, 23.9 vs. 9.2 months; hazard ratio for disease progression or death, 0.39; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.32 to 0.49; P<0.001) and was longer with lenvatinib plus everolimus than with sunitinib (median, 14.7 vs. 9.2 months; hazard ratio, 0.65; 95% CI, 0.53 to 0.80; P<0.001). Overall survival was longer with lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab than with sunitinib (hazard ratio for death, 0.66; 95% CI, 0.49 to 0.88; P = 0.005) but was not longer

with lenvatinib plus everolimus than with sunitinib (hazard ratio, 1.15; 95% CI, 0.88 to 1.50; P = 0.30). Grade 3 or higher adverse events emerged or worsened during treatment in 82.4% of the patients who received lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab, 83.1% of those who received lenvatinib plus everolimus, and 71.8% of those who received sunitinib. Grade 3 or higher adverse events occurring in at least 10% of the patients in any group included hypertension, diarrhea, and elevated lipase levels.

CONCLUSIONS: Lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab was associated with significantly longer progression-free survival and overall survival than sunitinib. CLEAR ClinicalTrials.gov number, NCTo2811861.

Open-Label, Single-Arm, Phase II Study of Pembrolizumab Monotherapy as First-Line Therapy in Patients With Advanced Non-Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma. McDermott D et al. J Clin Oncol. 2021; 39(9):1029-1039.

RESULTS: Among enrolled patients (N = 165), 71.5% had confirmed papillary, 12.7% had chromophobe, and 15.8% had unclassified RCC histology. Most patients (67.9%) had intermediate or poor International Metastatic RCC Database Consortium risk status and tumors with programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) combined positive score (CPS) \geq 1 (61.8%). The median time from enrollment to database cutoff was 31.5 months (range, 22.7-38.8). In all patients, the ORR was 26.7%. The median duration of response was 29.0 months; 59.7% of responses lasted ≥ 12 months. The ORR by CPS ≥ 1 and CPS < 1 status was 35.3% and 12.1%, respectively. The ORR by histology was 28.8% for papillary, 9.5% for chromophobe, and 30.8% for unclassified. Overall, the median progression-free survival was 4.2 months (95% CI, 2.9 to 5.6); the 24-month rate was 18.6%. The median overall survival was 28.9 months (95% CI, 24.3 months to not reached); the 24-month rate was 58.4%. Overall, 69.7% of patients reported treatment-related adverse events, most commonly pruritus (20.0%) and hypothyroidism (14.5%). Two deaths were treatment related (pneumonitis and cardiac arrest).

CONCLUSION: First-line pembrolizumab monotherapy showed promising antitumor activity in nccRCC. The safety profile was similar to that observed in other tumor types.

KCJ MEDICAL INTELLIGENCE

Newsworthy, Late-breaking Information From Web-based Sources, Professional Societies, and Government Agencies

FDA Approves Tivozanib as First Therapy for a Relapsed/Refractory Advanced RCC Subgroup

The first therapy for adults with relapsed or refractory advanced renal cell carcinoma who have received two or more prior systemic therapies has been granted approval by the FDA. This US FDA approval was granted based on the data from the phase 2 TIVO-3 clinical trial (NCTo2627963). TIVO-3 is a controlled, multicenter, open-label, phase III trial of 350 patients with highly refractory metastatic RCC who had failed ≥2 prior regimens, including VEGF TKI treatment.

Lead investigator Dr. Brian Rini of this trial (NCT02627963) along with other senior investigator Dr. Thomas Hutson discussed the TIVO-3 outcomes and prospect of tivozanib for combinatorial therapy with other IO agents (See Page 4: Roundtable Discussion in this issue).

Results that the hazard ratio for overall survival (OS) with tivozanib versus sorafenib was 0.97 (95% CI, 0.75-1.24;

P =.78). The median OS in the tivozanib arm was 16.4 months (95% CI, 13.4-22.2) and 19.2 months in the sorafenib arm (95% CI, 15.0-24.2). The study included a subgroup of patients who received previous checkpoint inhibitor and VEGF inhibitor therapy, and in this population, the HR for death was 0.55 and was 0.57 for those who received 2 prior checkpoint or VEGF inhibitors. In terms of response, tivozanib led to an 18% (95% CI: 12%-24%) overall response rate compared with 8% (95% CI: 4%-13%) in the sorafenib arm. Tivozanib appeared to have a favorable safety profile during the study. Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were observed in 84% compared with 94% of the sorafenib arm. Serious TRAEs were observed in 11% of the patients who received tivozanib compared with 10% of those treated with sorafenib.

REFERENCE: 1. Rini BI, Pal SK, Escudier BJ, Atkins MB, Hutson TE, Porta C, Verzoni E, Needle MN, McDermott DF. Tivozanib versus sorafenib in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma (TIVO-3): a phase 3, multicentre, randomised, controlled, open-label study. Lancet Oncol. 2020 Jan;21(1):95-104. PMID: 31810797.

FDA approves nivolumab/cabozantinib combo for frontline kid-tures. ney cancer

tion approved the combination of nivolumab (Opdivo, Briscombination regimen is based on findings from the phase 3 objective response rate (ORR) was also doubled with nivolumab/ versus 27.1%, respectively (P <.0001). In the combination arm, (PR) rate was 47.7%, and the stable disease (SD) rate was 32.2%. CR, PR, and SD rates were 4.6%, 22.6%, and 42.1%, respectively.

Regarding safety, the incidence of the most common, of patients on the combination required corticosteroids due to nir, lead author of this trial. immune-related AEs, 4% of whom needed corticosteroids for at least 30 days.

vs sunitinib in first-line treatment for advanced renal cell carcinoma: first results from the randomized phase 3 CheckMate 9ER trial. Ann Oncol. 2020;31(4). Abstract 696O.

FDA Grants Belzutifan Priority Review for VHL-Associated

The novel, selective HIF-2 alpha inhibitor belzutifan was granted a priority review by the FDA for the treatment of patients with VHL-associated RCC who do not require immeinclude DOR, TTR, PFS, and time to surgery (TTS) in VHL dis-VHL disease–associated RCC treated with belzutifan.

Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were observed in 96.7% of patients, most of which were grade 1 or 2 in severity; no grade 4 or 5 TRAEs were reported. The most common TRAEs were anemia in 83.6%, which was considered an on-target toxicity; fatigue in 49.2%; and dizziness in 21.3%. 9.8% of patients. Belzutifan is also being investigated in phase 3 trials as a monotherapy and in combination regimens in patients with RCC.

REFERENCE: Jonasch E, Donskov F, Iliopoulos O, et al. Phase II study of the oral HIF-2alpha inhibitor MK-6482 for Von Hippel-Lindau disease-asso-JCO.2020.38.15_suppl.5003.

Nivolumab Plus Ipilimumab Sparks Hope for Patients With RCC and Sarcomatoid Features.

in patients with advanced renal cell carcinoma with sarcomatoid ccell.2021.02.015. histology, including those with intermediate and poor-risk fea-

The post hoc, phase 3 CheckMate 214 clinical trial evalu-On January 22, 2021, the Food and Drug Administra- ated the efficacy of nivolumab (Opdivo) plus ipilimumab (Yervoy) versus sunitinib (Sutent) in patients with sRCC. 139 patients had tol-Myers Squibb Co.) and cabozantinib (Cabometyx, Exelix-sRCC and intermediate/poor-risk disease and 6 had favorable-risk is) as first-line treatment for patients with advanced renal cell disease from 1,096 included in the study. The study found that led carcinoma (RCC). The approval of nivolumab/cabozantinib to unprecedented long-term survival, response, and complete response when compared with sunitinib. Based on the results, CheckMate-9ER trial (NCTo3141177). Results indicated that investigators support the use of nivolumab plus ipilimumab as the combination reduced the risk of disease progression or death frontline treatment of patients with sRCC. PFS, on the other hand, by 49% versus sunitinib (Sutent) in treatment-naïve patients with was significantly longer with nivolumab plus ipilimumab at 26.5 advanced RCC, with a median progression-free survival of 16.6 months (95% CI, 8.4 to NE) compared with the 5.1 months (95% months versus 8.3 months, respectively (HR, 0.51; P <.0001). The CI, 4.0-6.9) seen with sunitinib (HR, 0.54; 95% CI, 0.3-0.9; P = .0093). The median OS however was not reached with nivolumab cabozantinib in this setting compared with sunitinib, at 55.7% plus ipilimumab (95% CI, 25.2 months-not estimable [NE]) versus 14.2 months (95% CI, 9.3-22.9) vs sunitinib (HR, 0.45; 95% CI, the complete response (CR) rate was 8.0%, the partial response 0.3-0.7; P = .0004). Patients who received nivolumab with ipilimumab also achieved a higher ORR of 60.8% (95% CI, 49%-72%) Additionally, 5.6% of patients had progressive disease (PD) and compared with 23.1% (95% CI, 14%-35%) in the sunitinib arm (P 6.5% were not evaluable or not assessed. In the sunitinib arm, the 18.9% compared with only 3.1% in the control arm. "I believe patients with clear cell RCC, who have sarcomatoid features in the any-grade and high-grade treatment-related adverse events tumor should be, in my opinion, nivolumab and ipilimumab if (TRAEs) were similar in both arms. The overall rate of serious you're doing that for treatment in first line setting, I think the data AEs was similar between the 2 groups; however, liver toxicity was we have from Checkmate-214 support this recommendation as more common with cabozantinib/nivolumab. Nineteen percent the preferred first-line therapy for these patients" said Nizar Tan-

REFERENCE: Tannir NM, Signoretti S, Choueri TK, et al. Efficacy and safety of nivolumab plus ipilimumab versus sunitinib in first-line treatment of pa-Reference: Choueiri TK, Powles T, Burotto M, et al. Nivolumab + cabozantinib tients with advanced sarcomatoid renal cell carcinoma. Clin Can Res. Published Online January 2021. Accessed February 3, 2021. https://bit.ly/36W2gSr.

Researchers unravel how kidney tumors' microenvironments change in response to immunotherapy.

By using single-cell RNA sequencing, researchers from Dana-Farber Cancer Institute and the Broad Institute of MIT and Harvard investigated how kidney tumors' microenvironments change in response to immunotherapy. Researchers discovered that in advanced stage disease these CD8+ T cells were "exhaustdiate surgery. The primary end point of the study is ORR in ed," and not able to carry out their usual function. "These compan-VHL disease-associated RCC tumors and secondary end points ion studies shed important new light on the biology of advanced kidney tumors and their surrounding environments. With this ease-associated RCC tumors as well as ORR, DOR, TTR, PFS, increased understanding, researchers will be able to identify new and TTS in non-RCC tumors. This open-label phase 2 Study-004 potential drug treatment targets and, overall, expand the number trial (NCTo3401788) supported the NDA, showing a significant of patients who can receive effective treatment." said Catherine response rate of 36.1% (95% CI, 24.2%-49.4%) in patients with J. Wu, MD, professor of medicine at Harvard Medical School. "A patient's immune system plays a critical role in controlling both the progression of cancer and the response to immune therapies," adds Toni K. Choueiri, MD, co-senior author of this paper.

In other study, researchers performed single-cell RNA and T cell receptor sequencing on 164,722 individual cells from tumor and adjacent non-tumor tissue. They also discovered more an-Grade 3 TRAEs, primarily fatigue and anemia, were reported in ti-inflammatory or "M2-like" macrophages, a type of white blood cell that suppresses the immune system, in advanced stage disease. CD8+ T cells and macrophages were playing off each other and caught in an "immune dysfunction circuit," said co-lead author David A. Braun, MD, PhD, an oncologist at Dana-Farber. "There may be immune evasion mechanisms outside of PD-1/PD-L1 that ciated renal cell carcinoma. J Clin Oncol. 2020;38(suppl 15):5003. doi:10.1200/ play an important role in response or resistance," said Kevin Bi, computational biologist at Dana-Farber and co-lead author on the paper. Study found that immune dysfunction circuit is associated with a worse prognosis in external cohorts and identifies potentially targetable immune inhibitory pathways in ccRCC.

Nivolumab plus ipilimumab combination therapy has REFERENCE: Bi, K., et al. (2021) Tumor and immune reprogramming during imimproved survival and response rates compared with sunitinib, munotherapy in advanced renal cell carcinoma. Cancer Cell. doi.org/10.1016/j.